

CASCADE REALIZATION OF 3-INPUT 3-OUTPUT CONSERVATIVE LOGIC CIRCUITS
 ----- Application of Three-valued Logic to Two-valued Logic -----

Tsutomu SASAO and Kozo KINOSHITA
 (Department of Electronic Engineering, Osaka University, Osaka 565, Japan)

A conservative logic element (CLE) is a multiple-output logic element whose weight of an input vector is equal to that of the corresponding output vector, and is a generalized model of magnetic bubble logic elements, etc. Arbitrary 3-input 3-output conservative logic circuits (3-3 CLC's) are realized by cascade connections of 3-input 3-output CLE's called "primitives". It is shown that the necessary and sufficient number of different primitives to realize an arbitrary 3-3 CLC is three in the case when the crossovers of lines are permitted. The method of realizations is similar to that of three-valued one-variable two-output logic functions.

Three-valued Representation of 3-3 CLC

Vectors of three-variable can be classified into four classes according to their weights. We assign a number to each vector $\underline{a}=(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ as Table 1.

weight	$a_1 a_2 a_3$	number
0	0 0 0	1
1	1 0 0	2
	0 0 1	3
2	0 1 1	1
	1 0 1	2
3	1 1 0	3
	1 1 1	1

weight	input	output
0	1	1
1	2	a_1
	3	a_2
2	1	b_1
	2	b_2
3	3	b_3
	3	1

$$a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

By the definition of CLC, the weight of an input vector is equal to that of the corresponding output vector, so any 3-3 CLC can be represented as Table 2. For the inputs of weight 0 and 3, the outputs are uniquely determined. So instead of two-valued representation, it can be represented as (A). (A) is called three-valued representation of 3-3 CLC. Any 3-3 CLC which is represented as (A) can be regarded as a three-valued one-variable two-output logic function of Table 3.

$\mu = \frac{(a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3)}{(b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3)} \text{ --- (A)}$	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <caption>Table 3</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>input</th> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>output 1</td> <td>a_1</td> <td>a_2</td> <td>a_3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>output 2</td> <td>b_1</td> <td>b_2</td> <td>b_3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	input	1	2	3	output 1	a_1	a_2	a_3	output 2	b_1	b_2	b_3
input	1	2	3										
output 1	a_1	a_2	a_3										
output 2	b_1	b_2	b_3										

Universal Set of Primitives

Lemma 1: If two 3-3 CLC's μ_1 and μ_2 are connected in a cascade, then 3-3 CLC $\mu_1 \mu_2$ is realized, where

$$\mu_1 = \frac{(a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3)}{(b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3)}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{(c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3)}{(d_1 \ d_2 \ d_3)}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mu_1 \mu_2 = \frac{(a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3) \cdot (c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3)}{(b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3) \cdot (d_1 \ d_2 \ d_3)}$$

"." denotes the composition of transformations and $(a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3) \cdot (c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3) = (c_{a_1} \ c_{a_2} \ c_{a_3})$.

The set of all 3-3 CLC's is denoted by K.

Definition 1: Let $M = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_p\}$ be a subset of K.

The minimal set S which satisfies the following conditions is said to be the set of composed functions, written [M] of $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_p\}$: (i) $M \subset S$, (ii) $\mu_i, \mu_j \in S \Rightarrow$

$$\mu_i \cdot \mu_j, \mu_j \cdot \mu_i \in S.$$

$[\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_p]$ represents the set of the circuits which are obtained by cascade connections of $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_p$. It is clear that $[M] \subset K$ by definition. If $[M] = K$, then M is said to be a universal set of 3-3 CLC's.

Definition 2: $\phi_1(\mu) = \delta(a_1 - a_2) + \delta(a_2 - a_3) + \delta(a_3 - a_1)$
 $\phi_2(\mu) = \delta(b_1 - b_2) + \delta(b_2 - b_3) + \delta(b_3 - b_1)$
 $\psi(\mu) = \delta(a_1 - b_1) + \delta(a_2 - b_2) + \delta(a_3 - b_3)$

where, $\mu = \frac{(a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3)}{(b_1 \ b_2 \ b_3)} \in K$ and $\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$.

Lemma 2: Let $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in K$. For $i=1, 2$

- (1) $\phi_i(\mu_1) = \phi_i(\mu_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \phi_i(\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2) = 0$
- (2) $\phi_i(\mu_1) = 3 \Rightarrow \phi_i(\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2) = \phi_i(\mu_2 \cdot \mu_1) = 3$
- (3) $\phi_i(\mu_1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \phi_i(\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2) \neq 0, \phi_i(\mu_2 \cdot \mu_1) \neq 0$
- (4) $\phi_i(\mu_1) = 0, \phi_i(\mu_2) = 1 \Rightarrow \phi_i(\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2) = \phi_i(\mu_2 \cdot \mu_1) = 1$
- (5) $\psi(\mu_1) = \psi(\mu_2) = 3 \Rightarrow \psi(\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2) = \psi(\mu_2 \cdot \mu_1) = 3$

Definition 3: $M_i = \{\mu \mid \mu \in K, \phi_i(\mu) = 0\}$ ($i=1, 2$)

$$L_i = \{\mu \mid \mu \in K, \phi_i(\mu) = 3\} \quad (i=1, 2)$$

$$N_i = \{\mu \mid \mu \in K, \psi(\mu) = i\} \quad (i=0, 1, 2, 3)$$

Lemma 3: $[M_i] = M_i, [\bar{M}_i] = \bar{M}_i, [L_i] = L_i$ ($i=1, 2$), $[N_3] = N_3$, $[M_1 \cup \bar{M}_2 \cup L_1] = M_1 \cup \bar{M}_2 \cup L_1, [M_1 \cup M_2 \cup L_2] = \bar{M}_1 \cup M_2 \cup L_2, [M_1 \cup \bar{M}_2] = \bar{M}_1 \cup \bar{M}_2, [(N_0 \cup N_3) \cap M_1 \cap M_2] = (N_0 \cup N_3) \cap M_1 \cap M_2$.

Theorem 1: If M is universal, then M contains three different elements μ_1, μ_2 , and μ_3 such that $\mu_1 \in \bar{M}_1 \cap M_2 \cap \bar{L}_1, \mu_2 \in M_1 \cap \bar{M}_2 \cap \bar{L}_2$, and $\mu_3 \in M_1 \cap M_2 \cap N_1$.

Lemma 4: Any three-valued one-variable logic function $T = (t_1 \ t_2 \ t_3)$ can be realized by the three different

primitive functions $P = (2 \ 1 \ 3), C = (2 \ 3 \ 1)$, and $D = (1 \ 1 \ 3)$.

Lemma 5: Any 3-3 CLC can be realized as a cascade connections of six different primitives $\frac{P}{I}, \frac{C}{I}, \frac{D}{I}, \frac{I}{P}, \frac{I}{C}$, and $\frac{I}{D}$, where $I = (1 \ 2 \ 3)$.

Note that these six primitives are composed of only three primitives when the crossovers of lines are permitted.

Lemma 6: Any 3-3 CLC can be realized as a cascade connection of three different primitives

$$\frac{(2 \ 2 \ 3)}{(1 \ 2 \ 3)}, \frac{(1 \ 2 \ 3)}{(2 \ 2 \ 3)}, \text{ and } \frac{(2 \ 3 \ 1)}{(1 \ 3 \ 2)}$$

Theorem 2: Any 3-3 CLC can be realized as a cascade connections of three different primitives $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$

where $\mu_1 \in \bar{M}_1 \cap M_2 \cap \bar{L}_1, \mu_2 \in M_1 \cap \bar{M}_2 \cap \bar{L}_2$, and $\mu_3 \in M_1 \cap M_2 \cap N_1$.

Acknowledgment The authors wish to acknowledge the support and encouragement of Prof. H. Ozaki.

Reference: R.C.Minnick, P.T.Bailey, R.M.Sandfort and W.L.Semon, "Magnetic bubble logic", 1972 WESCON.